



Czech University of Agriculture Prague

SCIENTIA BOHEMICA

VOLUME 30
PRAGUE 1999
CS ISSN 0582-2343

2

CONTENTS – OBSAH

Šantrůček J.: Academician Antonín Klečka – founder of the modern forage production – Akademik Antonín Klečka – zakladatel moderního pícninářství.....	81
Klímeš F., Kobes M.: Methodological tasks of evaluation of homogeneity of grazing stands – Metodologické otázky posuzování homogeneity pastevních porostů.....	83
Mrkvička J., Veselá M.: Species diversity and yields of foxtail stand type (<i>Alopecuretum</i>) at different fertilization – Druhová diverzita a výnosy psárkového porostového typu (<i>Alopecuretum</i>) při různém hnojení.....	95
Čupina B., Erić P.: The effect of sowing method and seeding rate on yield and quality of sainfoin (<i>Onobrychis sativa</i> L.) forage – Vliv způsobu setí a výsevu na výnos a kvalitu píce vícece lignosu.....	107
Vrzal J., Fogl J.: The effect of year on forage production of perennial clover crops establishing without cover crop – Vliv ročníku na produkci píce víceletých jetelovin zakládaných bez krycí plodiny.....	115
Šantrůček J., Svobodová M.: The effect of alginate preparations for formation of above-ground organs of alfalfa (<i>Medicago sativa</i> L.) in the first year of vegetation – Vliv alginátových přípravků na utváření nadzemních orgánů vojtěšky seté (<i>Medicago sativa</i> L.) v prvním roce vegetace.....	123
Kasprzak K., Hrabě F., Hejduk S.: Hydrologic features of perennial forage grass and legume stands in winter period – Hydrologické vlastnosti stanovišť víceletých pícnin v zimním období.....	133
Kasperczyk M.: Effect of meadows cutting frequency on the amount of dry mass yields and their abundance in total protein – Vplyv frekvencie kosenia luk na výšku výnosov sušiny a ich obsah celkových proteinov.....	143
Jančovič J., Vozár L.: Changes in grass phytocenosis after temporary cessation and repeated fertilization of stands – Zmeny v trávnej fytoocenóze po dočasnom prerušení a opätovnom hnojení porastov.....	149
Zajač T., Borowiec F., Kołodziejczyk M.: Evaluation of macro- and microelement contents in plants and silage of <i>Trifolium pratense</i> L. and <i>Lolium multiflorum</i> L. depending on the year, method of cultivation and cut – Hodnotenie obsahu makro- a mikroelementov v rastlinách a siláži ďateliny lúčnej (<i>Trifolium pratense</i>) a maťonohu mnohokvetého (<i>Lolium multiflorum</i> L.) v závislosti od roka, metódy pestovania a kosby.....	159
Holúbek R., Holúbek I.: Possibilities of cover of minerals from grasslands in nutrition of dairy cows – Možnosti krytia minerálnych látok z trávnych porastov vo výžive dojníc.....	171
Šantrůček J.: We present the Department of Forage Crops Production – Predstavujeme katedru pícninářství.....	181

Scientific journal of the Czech University of Agriculture Prague SCIENTIA AGRICULTURAE BOHEMICA ● Published by the Czech University of Agriculture ● Distributed by the Library and Information Center (SIC) of the Czech University of Agriculture ● Published quarterly ● Editor: Ing. Marie Černá, CSc. ● Editorial Office: Scientia Agriculturae Bohemica, Czech University of Agriculture, CZ 165 21 Prague-Suchdol, Czech Republic, tel.: 02/24 38 25 46, fax: 02/24 38 25 35

SCIENTIA AGRICULTURAE BOHEMICA

VOLUME 30 (1999)

Abstract from the journal are comprised in the databases: Agris, CAB Abstracts.

EDITORIAL BOARD

Chairman

Prof. Ing. Jiří Petr, DrSc. (Faculty of Agronomy, Czech University of Agriculture, Prague, Czech Republic)

Members

Prof. Dr. Walter A u f h a m m e r (Institut für Pflanzenbau und Grünland, Universität Hohenheim, Deutschland)

Doc. RNDr. Ing. Jiří B l a h o v e c , DrSc. (Technical Faculty, Czech University of Agriculture, Prague, Czech Republic)

Dr. Ing. Josef D l o u h ý (Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden)

Prof. Ing. Dr. Dr.h.c. Zdeněk F i l i p (Institut für Wasser-, Boden- und Luftthygiene, Langen, Deutschland)

Prof. Dr. J. F. H u r n i k (University of Guelph, Ontario, Canada)

Prof. Ing. Václav J a k u b e c , DrSc. (Faculty of Agronomy, Czech University of Agriculture, Prague, Czech Republic)

Zdeněk D. K a l e n s k y (Canada Centre for Remote Sensing, Ottawa, Canada)

Prof. Ing. Jan K o u b a , CSc. (Faculty of Forestry, Czech University of Agriculture, Prague, Czech Republic)

Prof. MVDr. Jaroslav K u r s a , DrSc. (Faculty of Agriculture, University of South Bohemia, České Budějovice, Czech Republic)

Prof. MVDr. František M a r v a n , DrSc. (Faculty of Agronomy, Czech University of Agriculture, Prague, Czech Republic)

Prof. RNDr. Lubomír N á t r , DrSc. (Institute of Plant Physiology, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic)

Prof. Ing. Jaromír N ě m e c , CSc. (ETH Zurich, Schweiz)

Prof. Ing. Jan P o k o r n ý , DrSc. (Institute of Chemical Technology, Department of Food Chemistry and Analysis, Prague, Czech Republic)

Prof. Ing. Jiří T v r d o ň , CSc. (Faculty of Economics and Management, Czech University of Agriculture, Prague, Czech Republic)

Prof. Ing. Pavel V a l í č e k , DrSc. (Institute of Tropical and Subtropical Agriculture, Czech University of Agriculture, Prague, Czech Republic)

Ing. Jiří Z á m e č n í k , CSc. (Research Institute of Crop Production, Prague, Czech Republic)

Managing Editor

Ing. Marie Č e r n á , CSc.

THE EFFECT OF SOWING METHOD AND SEEDING RATE ON YIELD AND QUALITY OF SAINFOIN (*ONOBRYCHIS SATIVA* L.) FORAGE

B. Čupina, P. Erić

Faculty of Agriculture, Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad, Yugoslavia

Two-factorial small-plot trial with sainfoin (*Onobrychis sativa* L.) cv. Make-donka was carried out at Rimski Šančevi (slightly calcareous chernozem) in the years 1995–1997. Row-to-row spacing (150 and 250 mm) and seeding rates (120, 140, 160, and 180 kg.ha⁻¹ of seed, i.e. single-seed fruits/pods) were the factors A and B. The green forage yield, dry matter and crude protein contents were determined. The highest annual yield (with four cuttings per year) was obtained in the second year (72.0 t.ha⁻¹), followed by the third (60.0 t.ha⁻¹) and then first (50.0 t.ha⁻¹). Looking at all three trial years (1995–1997) taken together, a statistically significant coefficient of correlation ($r = 0.81$) was found between the seeding rate and green forage yield. The smallest yield was obtained with the seeding rate of 120 kg.ha⁻¹, while all the other, increased rates gave balanced yields (variation range of 1.8 t ha⁻¹). These results support the use of the 140 kg.ha⁻¹ seeding rate and 250 mm row-to-row spacing. The crude protein yields exhibited a similar trend as green mass yields.

sainfoin (*Onobrychis sativa*); row spacing; seeding rate; yield; crude protein

INTRODUCTION

Sainfoin (*Onobrychis sativa* L.) is a perennial forage legume characterized by a high competition index. This crop's competitiveness is manifested both in its competition for vegetative space with other crop species (when grown in a mixed crop) and competition within the species itself (when grown in a pure crop). All this is particularly pronounced when sainfoin is grown in a dense stand. Thin stands, on the other hand, result in yield losses, so it is of particular importance to determine optimum row-to-row spacing and seeding rate as this is a prerequisite for a high, stable, and profitable sainfoin production.

Recommendations found in the literature for these two parameters cover a wide range of values – from 125 to 350 mm for row-to-row spacing and from 70 kg ha⁻¹ to 360 kg ha⁻¹ for seeding rate (depending on whether seeds or single-seed pods are used for sowing). According to some authors, sainfoin

produces the largest hay yields when the row-to-row spacing values are lower, namely 150 mm (Ćupina et al., 1993), 180 mm (Spedding, Diekmahns, 1972), and 200 mm (Ivanovski et al., 1998). This is consistent with reports from the literature that state that the highest yields of green mass in legumes are obtained with dense stands ranging between 150 and 250 mm (Ćupina et al., 1997; Ivanova-Bandžo, Fidanovski, 1973). Onoffrii and Tomazoni (1989) report that the largest sainfoin hay yields are produced by a row-to-row spacing of 200–300 mm. Depending on whether the seed or fruit (a single-seeded pod) are used for sowing, different seeding rates are recommended in the literature: 55–65 kg.ha⁻¹ of seed (Spedding, Diekmahns, 1972); 79 and 105 kg.ha⁻¹ of seed (Ivanovski et al., 1998); 50–70 kg.ha⁻¹ of seed and 140–170 kg.ha⁻¹ of fruit (Onoffrii, Tomazoni, 1989); and 150 kg.ha⁻¹ of fruit (Ćupina et al., 1993). In practice, however, it is the fruit that is most often used for sowing, since under favorable conditions the seed germinates and emerges from the fruit coating unimpeded. In sainfoin, therefore, what is botanically the fruit actually represents the seed in agricultural terms. The seeding rate in this crop species is significantly affected by agroecological growing conditions.

The objective of our three-year study was to contribute to the solution of the aforementioned problems by monitoring the effects of different row-to-row spacing and seeding rates on yields of green forage and raw protein during the first three years in the exploitation of a sainfoin crop.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Our two-factorial small-plot trial was carried out on a slightly calcareous chernozem soil at the Rimski Šančevi Experiment Field of the Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops in Novi Sad using a randomized block design with four replications:

Factor A – row-to-row spacing of 150 and 250 mm;

Factor B – seeding rates of 120, 140, 160, and 180 kg.ha⁻¹ of seed, i.e. single-seed fruits/pods.

The sainfoin variety Makedonka was used and the trial plot size was 5 m². The sowing was done on April 5, 1995 and the study covered the first three years of sainfoin life (1995, 1996, and 1997). The usual crop tending measures were applied during the growing seasons.

At technological maturity, the green forage yield was determined (t.ha⁻¹) and samples for determining dry matter and crude protein contents (both expressed as percentage) were taken. The raw protein yield (t.ha⁻¹) was determined based on the dry matter yield and nitrogen content.

Statistical data analysis was performed by the analysis of variance (ANOVA) using regression and correlation.

Weather conditions during the trial

As shown in Table I the sums of precipitation and mean daily temperatures during the study years were higher than the long-term average.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Green forage yields

During the trial years, our sainfoin produced high green forage yields, confirming that the species has a high biological potential (Čupina et al., 1993). As shown in Table II, the highest annual yield (with four cuttings per year) was obtained in the second year (72.0 t.ha^{-1}), followed by the third (60.0 t.ha^{-1}) and then first (50.0 t.ha^{-1}). Such results were definitely influenced by the weather conditions during the trial years, most notably by the sum and distribution of precipitation (Table I).

In 1995, the 150 cm row-to-row spacing produced a higher annual green forage yield (52.3 t.ha^{-1}) than the 250 mm one (48.1 t.ha^{-1}) regardless of the seeding rate used. The differences were not significant, however. Looking at Factor B (seeding rate) relative to both variants of Factor A, the lowest annual yield was recorded in the 120 kg.ha^{-1} treatment, while in all the other ones the yields were balanced, i.e. there were no statistically significant differences between them. In all of the treatments, the largest yield was recorded in the first cutting, while the second and third cuttings produced yields that were balanced and less than half as high.

In the second year of study (1996), the 250 mm treatments gave an average yield of 75.0 t.ha^{-1} , which was 6.2 t.ha^{-1} more than in the 150 mm ones. In this case too, however, the difference was not statistically significant. At 150 mm spacing, similar to the year before, the lowest yield was obtained with the lowest seeding rate (120 kg.ha^{-1}), while the other rates gave balanced and considerably higher yields. A similar trend was found in the 250 mm treatments as well, only in this case the 180 kg.ha^{-1} rate produced a somewhat higher yield 83.7 t.ha^{-1} . The differences between the forage yield from the 120 kg.ha^{-1} treatment and those from the other treatments were highly significant, while the differences between the treatment with 180 kg.ha^{-1} and the ones with 140 and 160 kg.ha^{-1} were significant. On average, the highest yield of green forage was achieved in the third cutting (24.7 t.ha^{-1}), followed by the first (22.6 t.ha^{-1}), while the second and fourth cuttings gave almost identical yields (12.4 and 12.5 t.ha^{-1} , respectively).

I. Mean daily temperatures (°C) and monthly precipitation (mm) during the first three years (1995–1997) of sainfoin growing

Month	Parameter	Year			Long-term average
		1995	1996	1997	
I	°C	0	-0.8	-1.4	-1.3
	mm	69.0	47.0	42.9	39.0
II	°C	6.2	-2.2	3.2	0.8
	mm	57.0	32.0	46.6	43.0
III	°C	6.1	2.8	5.7	5.0
	mm	41.0	29.0	36.3	35.0
IV	°C	11.5	11.6	7.5	11.6
	mm	0	20.0	75.3	47.0
V	°C	15.8	18.2	14.2	16.4
	mm	83.0	93.0	18.0	57.0
VI	°C	19.2	20.5	20.8	19.8
	mm	103.5	78.0	84.7	81.0
VII	°C	23.1	19.9	20.0	21.5
	mm	5.0	55.0	128.3	63.0
VIII	°C	20.9	21.0	20.1	21.8
	mm	73.0	113.0	124.6	47.0
IX	°C	16.2	13.0	16.0	17.1
	mm	96.0	99.2	30.3	35.0
X	°C	12.9	14.2	9.4	6.6
	mm	1.0	59.0	85.0	33.0
XI	°C	3.4	6.5	7.2	6.6
	mm	36.3	90.0	141.0	56.0
XII	°C	2.0	4.3	3.2	1.4
	mm	63.0	64.0	76.0	61.0
Average	°C	11.4	11.5	12.1	11.0
Total	mm	627.0	779.0	889.0	597.0

In the third study year (1997), looking at both spacing treatments put together, the highest green forage yield (average of three cuttings) was attained with 140 kg of seed per hectare (74.2 and 60.8 t.ha⁻¹, respectively). At 150 mm spacing, where the average yield was higher by 8.1 t.ha⁻¹ compared to 250 mm (not significant statistically), the 120 kg.ha⁻¹ seeding rate produced a higher biomass yield (67.0 t.ha⁻¹) than the 160 and 180 kg.ha⁻¹ ones, which stood in contrast to the first two years of study.

II. Forage yield of sainfoin ($t \cdot ha^{-1}$) during the first three years of growing (1995–1997) depending on row spacing and applied seeding rate

Row spacing (mm)	Seeding rate ($kg \cdot ha^{-1}$)	1995					1996					1997		
		cutting			total	cutting				total	cutting			
		I	II	III		I	II	III	IV		I	II	III	
150	120	26.4	10.1	8.1	44.6	18.0	9.7	20.2	10.5	58.4	40.2	13.6	13.1	67.0
	140	29.8	11.0	13.4	54.2	25.4	11.4	23.2	11.5	71.2	43.5	16.4	14.3	74.2
	160	30.5	11.5	12.8	54.8	23.4	11.6	24.5	12.7	72.2	32.0	15.5	13.9	61.4
	180	31.2	12.2	12.2	55.6	24.6	11.7	24.7	12.4	73.4	29.5	11.7	12.8	54.1
Average		29.5	11.2	11.6	52.3	22.8	11.1	23.2	11.8	68.8	36.3	14.3	13.5	64.2
250	120	22.1	11.8	8.8	42.7	18.3	12.0	20.1	11.0	61.4	23.0	11.2	10.2	44.4
	140	24.0	13.3	10.6	47.9	21.3	14.6	28.2	12.8	76.9	36.7	13.2	10.9	60.8
	160	25.8	14.0	10.9	50.7	24.1	13.8	28.2	14.6	77.7	34.2	11.7	11.1	57.4
	180	24.8	13.9	12.5	50.9	26.0	14.7	28.5	14.5	83.7	36.2	13.5	10.8	60.6
Average		24.1	13.3	10.7	48.1	22.4	13.8	26.3	13.2	75.0	32.6	12.4	10.8	55.8
Average 150 and 250		26.8	12.2	11.1	50.2	22.6	12.4	24.7	12.5	72.0	34.4	13.4	12.2	60.0
LSD	A 1%	2.1	1.2	1.9	9.2	4.6	1.1	3.7	1.4	16.3	13.5	10.8	10.2	20.8
	5%	1.5	0.9	1.4	5.0	3.4	0.8	2.7	1.1	14.3	7.4	5.9	5.6	16.7
	B 1%	3.0	1.7	2.7	3.9	6.5	1.6	5.2	2.0	8.2	6.6	3.2	2.6	7.6
	5%	2.2	1.2	2.0	3.1	4.7	1.2	3.8	1.5	6.0	4.8	2.3	1.9	5.6
	AB 1%	4.2	2.3	3.9	4.1	9.1	2.3	7.3	2.0	11.6	13.2	9.0	1.3	11.6
	5%	3.1	1.7	2.8	3.0	6.7	1.7	5.4	2.1	8.5	8.2	5.3	4.8	8.5

Looking at all three trial years (1995–1997) taken together, a statistically significant coefficient of correlation ($r = 0.81$) was found between the seeding rate and green forage yield. The smallest yield was obtained with the seeding rate of $120 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$, while all the other increased rates gave balanced yields (variation range of $1.8 \text{ t}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$), which is of particular importance in view of sainfoin's small genetic potential and low economic viability of its production. These results support the use of the $140 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ seeding rate and 250 mm row-to-row spacing.

Crude protein yield

Sainfoin dry matter is characterized by a high crude protein content that most often ranges between 17 and 25% (Spedding, Diekmahns, 1972). In the present study, the raw protein content varied according to the year and cutting, ranging from 19.77% (2nd cutting, 1997) to 21.01% (2nd cutting, 1995 and 1996). Due to a small range of variation of dry matter and crude protein contents between the various cuttings, the raw protein yields exhibited a similar trend as green mass yields (Table III). This is further supported by the fact that a highly significant coefficient of correlation was observed between the green mass yield and seeding rate used ($r = 0.90$).

CONCLUSION

On the basis of the obtained results the following conclusions can be drawn. The highest annual yield (with four cuttings per year) was obtained in the second year ($72.0 \text{ t}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$), followed by the third ($60.0 \text{ t}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$) and then first ($50.0 \text{ t}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$).

Looking at all three trial years (1995–1997) taken together, a statistically significant coefficient of correlation ($r = 0.81$) was found between the seeding rate and green forage yield. The smallest yield was obtained with the seeding rate of $120 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$, while all the other, increased rates gave balanced yields (variation range of $1.8 \text{ t}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$), which is of particular importance in view of sainfoin's small genetic potential and low economic viability of its production. These results support the use of the $140 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{ha}^{-1}$ seeding rate and 250 mm row-to-row spacing.

Due to a small range of variation of dry matter and crude protein contents between the various cuttings, the crude protein yields exhibited a similar trend as green mass yields.

References

ČUPINA, B. – ERIC, P. – MIHAILOVIĆ, V.: Komparativno ispitivanje produktivnosti i kvaliteta višegodišnjih leguminoza. In: Zbor. radova, Institut za ratarstvo i povrtarstvo, 1993: 525–534.

ČUPINA, B. – ERIC, P. – MIHAILOVIĆ, V.: Potential perennial legumes as forage crops. In: Proc. 21th Meeting of the Fodder Crops and Amenity Grasses Section of Eucarpia, Kartause Ittingen, Switzerland, 1997: 64–66.

IVANOVA-BANDŽO, K. – FIADNOVSKI, F.: Vlijaniето na meguredvoto rastojanje vrz pri-nosot od esparzeta *Onobrychis sativa*. Spisanie za zemjodelski prašanja, 1973: 4–6.

IVANOVSKI, P. – PRENTOVIK, T. – DIMOV, Z.: Effect of sowing rate on sainfoin *Onobrychis sativa* Lam. Forage and Seed Yield. In: Proc. 2nd Balkan Symp. on Field Crops, Vol. 2, Novi Sad, Yugoslavia, 1998: 473–475.

ONOFRII, M. – TOMAZONI, C.: Le Foraggere Coltivate in Italia. Edizioni Agricole-Bologna 1989.

SPEEDING, C. R. W. – DIEKMAHNS, E. C.: Grasses and legumes in British agriculture. Commonwealth Agric. Bureaux, 1972: 511.

Received for publication on June 7, 1999

ČUPINA, B. - ERIC, P. (Zemědělská fakulta, Institut polních plodin a zeleniny, Novi Šad, Jugoslávie):

Vliv způsobu setí a výsevku na výnos a kvalitu píce vičence ligrusu.

Scientia Agric. Bohem., 30, 1999: 107–114.

Dvoufaktorový pokus, uspořádaný metodou znáhodněných bloků se čtyřmi opakováními, s vičencem ligrusem (*Onobrychis sativa* L.) odrůdy Makedonka byl založen 5. 4. 1995 na mírně vápenaté černozemi na pokusné stanici Římski Šančevi Institutu polních plodin a zeleniny v Novém Sadu. V letech 1995–1997 byl sledován vliv rozteče řádků 150 a 250 mm (faktor A) a výsevku 120, 140, 160, a 180 kg.ha⁻¹ jednosemenných plodů (faktor B). Byl sledován výnos zelené hmoty (t.ha⁻¹), obsah a výnos hrubého proteinu (t.ha⁻¹). Výsledky byly statisticky vyhodnoceny programem ANOVA s použitím regresní a korelační analýzy. Nejvyšší roční výnosy čerstvé hmoty byly dosaženy (při čtyřsečném využití) ve druhém roce vegetace (72,0 t.ha⁻¹). Ve třetím roce byl výnos čerstvé hmoty v průměru 60,0 t.ha⁻¹ a v prvním roce 50,0 t.ha⁻¹ (tab. II). V průměru let 1995–1997 byl statisticky průkazný rozdíl (korelační koeficient $r = 0,81$) mezi výsevku a výnosem čerstvé hmoty. Nejnižšího výnosu bylo dosaženo při výsevku 120 kg.ha⁻¹, při vyšších výsevcích byly výnosy úměrné. Na základě těchto výsledků se ukazuje jako nejvhodnější výsevek 140 kg.ha⁻¹ a rozteč řádků 250 mm. Vzhledem k malé variabilitě obsahu sušiny a dusíkatých látek mezi jednotlivými sečemi vykazoval výnos dusíkatých látek podobný trend jako výnos čerstvé hmoty (tab. III).

vičenec ligrus (*Onobrychis sativa*); rozteč řádků; výsevek; výnos; dusíkaté látky

Contact Address:

Dr B. Čupina, Faculty of Agriculture, Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, 21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia
